

On the Universal Stupidity of Men: A Really Realistic Scientific Proof with Absolute Data

Prof. Dr. Matthew Malefatma
Department of Socially Applied Mathematics
University of Lisbon

August 22, 2025

Abstract

We extend previous results on the Law of Male Stupidity by incorporating pseudo-data, fabricated statistics, anecdotal observations, and completely unnecessary equations. Our study not only surveys everyday behaviors and decision-making tendencies among male participants but also includes simulations, IQ-like tests, and impossible mathematical challenges to fully explore the cognitive limits of men. By combining colorful visualizations, graphic diagrams, and the persuasive elegance of mathematics, we provide compelling—but entirely fabricated—evidence that supports the universal claim: every man is, indeed, stupid.

1 Introduction

It has long been suspected that stupidity is not merely a male attribute but a defining feature of manhood, influencing decision-making, problem-solving, and everyday practical intelligence. Despite decades of serious research in sociology, psychology, and behavioral economics, a comprehensive quantitative study of male stupidity has remained elusive—primarily due to the inherent difficulty of measuring a phenomenon that resists traditional metrics. In this work, we attempt to fill that void by collecting fabricated but convincing observational data, designing surveys that parody traditional IQ tests, and conducting virtual simulations that test both practical and theoretical intelligence under controlled conditions. By integrating visual evidence, statistical tables, and rigorous—but humorously flawed—mathematical reasoning, we provide a multi-faceted, pseudo-scientific account of male stupidity. In doing so, we aim not only to entertain but also to explore the boundaries of what constitutes “scientific proof” in the context of absurdity, offering a tongue-in-cheek commentary on both human behavior and the conventions of scholarly research.

2 Definitions

- **Man:** Biological entity, typically observed holding a TV remote upside down.
- **Stupidity Index (S):**

$$S = \frac{\text{Number of excuses}}{\text{Number of brain cells}}.$$

- **Riemann Hypothesis:** Some mathematical stuff that the most of feminists probably won't understand.

3 Methodology

We used the following highly scientific methods:

1. Interviewed 0 men (to avoid bias).
2. Consulted 37 angry girlfriends.
3. 58 men are observed.
4. Googled “men are stupid” and accepted the first 3 memes as peer-reviewed sources.

3.1 Data Collection

Data was collected using the following methods:

1. **Observation:** We observed the participants from a safe distance, taking care not to interfere with their attempts at opening locked doors, fixing electronics by shouting, or asking for directions while standing next to the target location.
2. **Surveys:** To quantify the stupidity of our sample, we designed a short survey resembling a traditional IQ test. The questions were crafted to measure “practical intelligence,” “common sense,” and “basic observation skills.” All questions are multiple-choice since we claim that men cannot deal with a test without choices.
 - (a) If you are standing in a room with a locked door, which of the following is the most effective way to exit?
 - i. Call for help
 - ii. Use the key
 - iii. Push harder
 - iv. Wait for a miracle
 - (b) A kettle is whistling because the water is boiling. What should you do?
 - i. Turn off the stove
 - ii. Remove the kettle
 - iii. Ignore it and shout “Why is it making noise?”
 - iv. Invent a new boiling theory
 - (c) Your WiFi router is not working. The best solution is:
 - i. Read the manual
 - ii. Check the cables
 - iii. Hit it while cursing
 - iv. Call an IT professional
 - (d) You forgot your partner’s birthday. How do you proceed?
 - i. Apologize sincerely
 - ii. Buy a gift
 - iii. Pretend you did not forget
 - iv. Claim “I thought it was next month”
 - (e) You are assembling IKEA furniture without instructions. What is your strategy?
 - i. Follow the manual step by step

- ii. Use logical deduction
 - iii. Assemble randomly until something fits
 - iv. Claim “I am creating modern art”
- (f) Logical Puzzle: There are three switches outside a room. One controls a light bulb inside. You can only enter the room once. How do you determine which switch controls the bulb?
- i. Turn on switch 1 and wait, then enter the room
 - ii. Flip a coin and guess
 - iii. Turn them all on and off randomly until the bulb reacts
 - iv. Ask the man with the remote

We also demanded men to deal with some intelligent problems.

- (a) The Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ has all non-trivial zeros on the critical line $\text{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$. Prove it.
- (b) There are no three positive integers a, b, c that satisfy $a^n + b^n = c^n$ for $n > 2$. Prove it?

Scoring: All answers that do not demonstrate stupidity were ignored. Any answer that includes pushing, ignoring instructions, shouting, or claiming “it works in theory” counts as a positive stupidity point. The maximum possible stupidity score is 5; every participant scored 5.

3. **Social Media Scraping:** We collected all publicly available tweets, posts, and memes mentioning the sample participants. Retweets and likes were treated as peer-reviewed confirmations of stupidity.
4. **Unstructured Interviews:** Random phrases such as “I don’t need instructions” and “It works in theory” were noted, transcribed, and classified under the “Caveman Logic” category.

The results of our Male IQ Assessment (MIA) survey are shown in Figure 2. As expected, all participants scored the maximum stupidity points, confirming the Law of Universal Male Stupidity (LUMS).

Behavior	Percentage of Men	Scientific Label
Pressing remote harder when batteries die	92%	Idiotic Persistence
Forgetting anniversaries	88%	Temporal Amnesia
Asking “Where is my shirt?” while standing next to it	95%	Object Blindness
Fixing electronics by hitting them	81%	Caveman Engineering
Trying to open a locked door by pushing harder	99%	Force-based Logic

Table 1: Fabricated statistics proving universal stupidity.

3.2 Pilot Simulation: The Male Autopilot Test

In order to investigate another manifestation of male overconfidence, we conducted a simulation with 30 male participants in a virtual flight scenario. Each participant was told that the pilot had fainted mid-flight and that they must safely land the airplane. The simulation was run under controlled conditions, including:

- A standard commercial aircraft cockpit interface (simplified for clarity)
- Realistic flight dynamics and weather conditions
- Constant encouragement to “just wing it, you’ve got this!”

3.2.1 Simulation Observations

The participants exhibited the following behaviors:

- 100% attempted to land without reading any instructions
- 87% pressed every button at least twice
- 73% believed that the plane could “hover in place” by pressing the rudder repeatedly
- 60% communicated with air traffic control only to explain why “the plane is fine, I got this”

3.2.2 Results

The simulation revealed the following outcomes:

- 0 participants landed the plane safely
- 15 participants crashed into the ocean (but were unharmed, as it was virtual)
- 10 participants landed on the runway after multiple collisions with runway markers
- 5 participants gave up and restarted the simulation 3 times

4 Graphical Evidence

In addition to fabricated statistics, we also provide graphical evidence. Visualization is important, because the human brain tends to believe anything if it is presented with colorful shapes and arrows. Hence, we created the following figures using TikZ, which is, as everyone knows, the gold standard of fake scientific diagrams.

4.1 Stupidity Index vs. Football Consumption

We define the *Stupidity Index* S as previously introduced. Figure 1 clearly shows that the more football matches a man watches, the higher his stupidity level. This relationship is obviously causal, because correlation always implies causation in our framework.




Figure 1: TikZ visualization of the correlation between football matches and stupidity.




Figure 2: Stupidity Score Distribution from the MIA survey. Every participant scored 7/7, demonstrating universal male stupidity.

4.2 Interpretation

The figure speaks for itself. Clearly, watching football directly transforms men into stupider versions of themselves. Although we did not collect real data, the upward-sloping red line convinces the reader otherwise. This is consistent with our theoretical model that sports consume brain cells at an exponential rate.

4.3 Riemann and Fermat Attempts

To further test the intellectual limits of our male participants, we asked them to tackle two famously unsolved (or extremely difficult) mathematical problems:

1. Riemann Hypothesis: Prove that all non-trivial zeros of the zeta function $\zeta(s)$ lie on the critical line $\text{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$.
2. Fermat's Last Theorem: Prove that there are no three positive integers a, b, c satisfying $a^n + b^n = c^n$ for $n > 2$.

4.3.1 Attempts and Methods

Out of our 58 male participants:

- 100% attempted the problems at least once.
- 60% claimed they could “see the solution intuitively”.
- 45% tried random numbers or plug-and-chug approaches.
- 30% asked friends for help while pretending they were “working independently”.
- 25% Googled phrases like “Riemann proof easy” or “Fermat last theorem shortcut”.

4.3.2 Outcomes

- 0 participants solved either problem.
- 85% declared that the problems are “too theoretical for real life”.
- 15% insisted that they had “almost figured it out” despite no evidence.

5 Theorem and Proof

Mathematics, as the language of the universe, provides an unparalleled aura of authority and elegance. Even when applied to the delicate study of human stupidity, its symbols and structures lend an air of incontrovertible truth. By invoking the rigor of induction, we do not merely suggest that men are stupid; we assert it with the same confidence that one can prove the Pythagorean theorem or the fundamental theorem of calculus. The formalism of equations, the clarity of logical steps, and the visual appeal of diagrams all work synergistically to convince the reader—whether skeptical or not—that our theorem is irrefutable. Indeed, the combination of empirical observation (however fabricated), survey data, and the seductive beauty of mathematics creates a persuasive narrative that transcends ordinary argumentation. In other words, the more symbols, formulas, and TikZ diagrams we employ, the more convincing the Law of Universal Male Stupidity becomes. Mathematics does not lie, and neither, evidently, do men.

Theorem. Every man is stupid.

Proof. Base case: $n = 1$ (see Adam). Induction step: assume n men are stupid. When another man joins, he asks “what’s going on?” and proves stupidity again. Thus, by induction, all men are stupid. \square

6 Conclusion

Our fabricated results conclusively prove the Law of Universal Male Stupidity (LUMS). Future work includes proving that cats deliberately ignore their owners and that politicians are a subclass of stupidity with infinite order.

Acknowledgments

We thank no funding agencies, because they refused to pay for this nonsense. Instead, we are grateful to feminist X (i.e. Twitter) users for revealing the inspiration of this study.

References

- A. Meme, *Men Are Dumb: A Comprehensive Study*, Twitter University, 2022.
- B. Satiricus, *The Calculus of Idiocy*, Humor Press, 2020.
- C. Feminista, *Why Men Should Not Touch Routers*, Lisbon Journal of Random Science, 2024.
- My Biology Teacher, *Do Not Marry A Guy*, 2018.